

Translating the Cantor set by a random



Jason Teutsch

Penn State University

July 4, 2012

Overview

Definition

The *Cantor set* $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [0, 1]$ consists of all real numbers whose tertiary expansion contains only 0's and 2's.



Question

Can points in the Cantor set cancel randomness when added to a Martin-Löf random real r ?

- Yes! Many points in \mathcal{C} cancel randomness.
- Effective level sets of $\mathcal{C} + r$ are classical fractals.
- The reason seems to involve additive number theory.

Classical Hausdorff dimension

For $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

- $|E|$ is the *diameter* of E ,
- A class \mathcal{G} *covers* E if its union contains E and,
- \mathcal{G} a δ -*mesh* if every member of \mathcal{G} has diameter at most δ .

For $\beta \geq 0$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^\beta(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} |G|^\beta : \mathcal{G} \text{ is a countable } \delta\text{-mesh cover of } E \right\}.$$

The β -*dimensional Hausdorff measure* of E is $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^\beta(E)$.

Definition

The *Hausdorff dimension* of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, or $\dim_{\text{H}}(E)$, equals the unique β where $\mathcal{H}^\beta(E)$ transitions from ∞ to 0.

The correct notion of dimension

Definition

The *effective Hausdorff dimension* of a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, or $\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}(E)$, is the same as its classical counterpart except covers are restricted to effectively open classes.

Equivalently, we can define in terms of Kolmogorov complexity K .

Theorem (Levin, Lutz, Mayordomo)

The constructive dimension of a singleton $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}\{x\} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(x \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}(E) = \sup\{\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}\{x\} : x \in E\}.$$

Dimension facts

The following are true.

- For any Martin-Löf random real x ,
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}\{x\} = 0$ but
 - $\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}\{x\} = 1$.

The converse does not hold.

- A real consisting of a Martin-Löf random real interleaved with a computable real has constructive dimension $1/2$.

Theorem (Lutz, Cai and Hartmanis)

*The set of reals with constructive dimension α has both effective **and** classical Hausdorff dimension α .*

Properties of the Cantor set

Definition

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}.$$

- 1** The dimension of the Cantor set is:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} \approx 0.6309.$$

Packing dimension, upper box counting, and lower box counting also agree with this value.

- 2** Any real in the interval $[0, 2]$ can be written as the sum of two members of the Cantor set. Indeed, $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C} = [0, 1]$. Thus **adding two numbers from the Cantor set suffices to completely cancel randomness.**

Translating the Cantor set by a random

Definition

Let $\mathcal{E}_{=\alpha}$ be the set of reals whose constructive dimension is α , and let

$$\mathcal{C} + r = \{x + r : x \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Our main result is the following:

Theorem

For any α satisfying $1 - \dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{C} \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and for any Martin-Löf random $r \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} [(\mathcal{C} + r) \cap \mathcal{E}_{=\alpha}] = \alpha - 1 + \dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{C}.$$

Thus the difference between the effective and classical Hausdorff dimensions of this intersection is $1 - \dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{C}$.

Why would this be true?

Definition

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\leq\alpha}$ denote the set of reals with effective Hausdorff dimension at most α .

Let

$$B_x = (\mathcal{C} + x) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\leq\alpha}$$

and let $\gamma > \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C} + \alpha$. B_x is the vertical fiber of a set B with $\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}(B) < \gamma$, so by the Fubini inequality for Hausdorff measures

$$\int \mathcal{H}^{\gamma-1}(B_x) d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \leq c\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}(B) = 0$$

for some $c > 0$, whence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(B_x) \leq \gamma - 1 = \alpha - (1 - \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C})$$

for Lebesgue measure almost all x .

A point within 2/3 of optimal

A quick **calculation** gives the best possible randomness cancellation.

Proposition

Let $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and let r be a Martin-Löf random real. Then

$$1 - \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C} \leq \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}} \{x + r\} \leq 1.$$

Example

Let $r \in [0, 1]$ be a real with ternary expansion $.r_1 r_2 \dots$. Choose $t = .t_1 t_2 \dots \in \mathcal{C}$ as follows. Let

$$t_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r_n \in \{1, 2\}, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A point within 2/3 of optimal II

Example (cont.)

Then

$$r_n + t_n = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } r_n = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } r_n = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } r_n = 2, \end{cases}$$

and so by a theorem of Lutz,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}\{r + t\} &\leq \text{entropy} \left(0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} \log_3 \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \log_3 \frac{2}{3} = 1 - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C} \approx 0.5793, \end{aligned}$$

which is within 2/3 of optimal cancellation.

Can we do better?

The method from the previous slide resists improvement. Suppose there were a set of reals E such that:

- E has low effective Hausdorff dimension, and
- $\mathcal{C} + E \supseteq [0, 2]$.

Then for **any** $r \in [0, 2]$, there exist $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and $y \in E$ such that $x + y = 2 - r$, so $x + r \in 2 - E$, and therefore

$$\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}\{x + r\} \leq \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}} E.$$

Question

How small can $\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}} E$ be?

Building blocks

Remark

$$\mathcal{C} + E \supseteq [0, 2] \iff \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C} + \frac{1}{2}E \supseteq [0, 1].$$

Example

Let $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$. Then the ternary expansion is:

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C} = \{.x_0x_1x_2\cdots : x_i \in \mathcal{C}_2\}.$$

Let $B_2 = \{00, 02, 11\}$, and let $\frac{1}{2}E = \{.x_0x_1x_2\cdots : x_i \in B_2\}$. Then the condition in “Remark” holds:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 00 = 00 + 00, & 10 = 10 + 00, & 20 = 11 + 02, \\ 01 = 01 + 00, & 11 = 11 + 00, & 21 = 10 + 11, \\ 02 = 00 + 02, & 12 = 01 + 11, & 22 = 11 + 11. \end{array}$$

Effective Hausdorff dimension with B_2

In the example on the previous slide, $B_2 = \{00, 02, 11\}$ and

$$E' = \frac{1}{2}E = \{.x_0x_1x_2 \cdots : x_i \in B_2\}$$

is the additive “complement” to the Cantor set. For any $\beta \geq 0$, we can uniformly cover E' with $|B_2|^n$ intervals of size $3 \cdot 3^{-2n}$:

- there are $|B_2|$ block choices for each of the first n blocks in any member of E' , and
- a closed interval of length $3 \cdot 3^{-2n}$ covers all possible extensions of the n -block prefix.

The 1/2-effective Hausdorff measure of E' is

$$c\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(E') \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |B_2|^n \cdot [3 \cdot (3^{-2n})]^{1/2} = \sqrt{3},$$

so $\text{cdim}_{\text{H}}(E') \leq 0.5$.

Optimal blocks

Might we decrease the effective Hausdorff dimension of E by using larger blocks? The following blocks are optimal, but not unique.

$$B_1 = \{0, 1\},$$

$$B_2 = \{00, 02, 11\},$$

$$B_3 = \{000, 002, 021, 110, 112\},$$

$$B_4 = \{0000, 0002, 0011, 0200, 0202, 0211, 1100, 1102, 1111\},$$

$$B_5 = \{00000, 00002, 00021, 00112, 00210, 01221, 02012, \\ 02110, 02201, 10212, 11010, 11101, 11120, 11122\}$$

Note that $B_4 = B_2 \times B_2$. Unknown: is $B_2 \times B_4$ optimal for B_6 ?

Question

Can you beat B_3 ($\log 5 / \log 27 \approx 0.4883$)?

I am your density

Definition

For any set of positive integers A ,

$$\text{density}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|}{n}.$$

Blocks by Lorentz

Lorentz's Lemma (1954)

There exists a constant c such that for any integer k , if $A \subseteq [0, k)$ is a set of integers with $|A| \geq \ell \geq 2$, then there exists a set of integers $B \subseteq (-k, k)$ such that $A + B \supseteq [0, k)$ with $|B| \leq ck \frac{\log \ell}{\ell}$.

Lorentz's proof solves a conjecture of Paul Erdős: every infinite set A has a complementary set B of density zero.

Theorem

There exists a uniform sequence of computably closed sets E_1, E_2, \dots such that

- 1** $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C} + \frac{1}{2}E_n = [0, 1]$ for all n , and
- 2** $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}} E_n = 1 - \text{dim}_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}$.

Blocks by Kolmogorov

Theorem (Lower Bound)

Let $1 - \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and let r be **any** real in $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} [(\mathcal{C} + r) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\leq \alpha}] \geq \alpha - 1 + \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}.$$

Let \mathcal{C}_A be the set of reals having a ternary expansion whose digits are all 0 or 2, where the 2's only occur at positions in

$$A = \{ \lfloor y/D \rfloor : y \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

which has density $D = \frac{1-\alpha}{\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}}$ and **low Kolmogorov complexity**.

Lemma (Density Style)

Let α be as above. Then there exists a computably closed set of reals E such that $\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}} E = \alpha$ and $\mathcal{C}_A + E = [0, 2]$.

Proof of Lower Bound

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{A}}$ denote the set of reals whose ternary expansions consists of only 0's and the 2's only occur at positions not in A . For each $z \in \mathcal{C}$ there exist unique reals $v \in \mathcal{C}_A$ and $w \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{A}}$ such that $v + w = z$; let $p(z) = w$. Take E with $\text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}} E = \alpha$ as in the Density Style Lemma and let $F = 2 - E$ so that $F - \mathcal{C}_A = [0, 2]$. Let $S = \mathcal{C} \cap (F - r)$.

Fix $r \in [0, 1]$. For each $y \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{A}}$ we have $r + y \in F - \mathcal{C}_A$, so there exists $x \in \mathcal{C}_A$ such that $r + y \in F - x$, which gives $x + y \in S$ since $\mathcal{C}_A + \mathcal{C}_{\bar{A}} = \mathcal{C}$. Thus p maps S onto $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{A}}$. Since p is Lipschitz we get, by proper choice of density D ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} S \geq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}_{\bar{A}} \geq \alpha - 1 + \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}$$

because Lipschitz maps do not increase Hausdorff dimension. QED

Open problems

Theorem

For any α satisfying $1 - \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C} \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and for any Martin-Löf random $r \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} [(\mathcal{C} + r) \cap \mathcal{E}_{=\alpha}] = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} [(\mathcal{C} + r) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\leq\alpha}] = \alpha - 1 + \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}.$$

Questions

- How much can the randomness of r be reduced by adding a Cantor set point if r was not completely random to begin with?
- Given a real x , what is the least possible dimension for $\{x + y\}$ when y is Martin-Löf random? When $y \in \mathcal{C}$?
- What about fractals other than the Cantor set?
- What about other measures of dimension?

Simple bounds

◀ back to 2/3 construction

Theorem

Let $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and let r be a Martin-Löf random real. Then $1 - \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C} \leq \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}\{x + r\} \leq 1$.

Proof.

Given x and r , there is a constant c such that for all n , $K[(x + r) \upharpoonright n] + K(x \upharpoonright n) + c \geq K(r \upharpoonright n)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \geq \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{H}}\{x + r\} &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K[(x + r) \upharpoonright n]}{n} \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(r \upharpoonright n)}{n} - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(x \upharpoonright n)}{n} \\ &\geq 1 - \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{P}}\{x\} \geq 1 - \text{cdim}_{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{C} = 1 - \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{C}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$