

Predicativity – Part I

Gerhard Jäger

Institut für Informatik und angewandte Mathematik
Universität Bern

Barcelona, April 2012

- 1 Historical background
- 2 Feferman – Schütte – Γ_0

Russell and Poincaré

Russell (around 1901 – 1906)

A propositional function $\varphi[x]$ is called *predicative* if it defines a class, i.e. if the class $\{x : \varphi[x]\}$ exists, and *impredicative* otherwise. For example, the propositional function $(x \notin x)$ is impredicative.

Poincaré (around 1906)

- The *vicious circle principle (VPC)*: A definition of an object S is *impredicative* if it refers to a totality to which S belongs.
- VPC is the essential source of inconsistencies.
- The structure of the natural numbers and the principle of complete induction do not require foundational justification; further sets have to be introduced by purely predicative means.

Typical impredicative definitions

- $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (\forall X \subseteq \mathbb{N})\varphi[X, n]\}$

$$?: m \in S \rightsquigarrow (\forall X \subseteq \mathbb{N})\varphi[X, m] \rightsquigarrow \varphi[S, m] \rightsquigarrow m \in S.$$

- **The least upper bound principle of classical analysis**

We identify rational numbers with certain natural numbers and real numbers with the upper parts of Dedekind sections. Then the least upper bound S of a bounded non-empty set $\mathcal{R} = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \varphi[X]\}$ of reals is given by

$$S = \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{R}} M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (\forall X \subseteq \mathbb{N})(\varphi[X] \rightarrow n \in X)\}.$$

Typical impredicative definitions (cont.)

- Accessible parts and well-orderings

Let \prec be a (primitive recursive) linear ordering on \mathbb{N} .

$$\text{Closed}[\prec, X] :\Leftrightarrow (\forall m \in \mathbb{N})((\forall n \prec m)(n \in X) \rightarrow m \in X),$$

$$\text{Acc}[\prec] := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (\forall X \subseteq \mathbb{N})(\text{Closed}[\prec, X] \rightarrow n \in X)\},$$

$$\text{WO}[\prec] :\Leftrightarrow \text{Acc}[\prec] = \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly,

$$\text{WO}[\prec] \Leftrightarrow \neg(\exists F \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(F(n+1) \prec F(n)).$$

First developments

Hermann Weyl (1885–1955)

- Predicative development of a substantial of analysis.
- Restriction to arithmetically definable sets of natural and rational numbers; real numbers via Cauchy sequences.
- Implicit formal framework equivalent to ACA_0 .

Ramified analytic hierarchy

$$R_0 := \emptyset, \quad R_{\alpha+1} := \text{Def}^{(2)}(R_\alpha), \quad R_\lambda := \bigcup_{\xi < \lambda} R_\xi \quad (\lambda \text{ limit}).$$

Gödel's constructible hierarchy

$$L_0 := \emptyset, \quad L_{\alpha+1} := \text{Def}(L_\alpha), \quad L_\lambda := \bigcup_{\xi < \lambda} L_\xi \quad (\lambda \text{ limit}).$$

First developments (cont.)

Some properties:

- $\bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_n} R_\xi = \bigcup_{\xi < \beta_0} R_\xi$ for some countable β_0 .
- $R_\xi = L_\xi \cap \text{Pow}(\mathbb{N})$ for suitable $\xi < \beta_0$.
- The steps $R_\alpha \mapsto R_{\alpha+1}$ and $L_\alpha \mapsto L_{\alpha+1}$ are justified from a predicative perspective.

Kleene, Spector et al.

$$\text{HYP} = \Delta_1^1 = R_{\omega_1^{CK}} = L_{\omega_1^{CK}} \cap \text{Pow}(\mathbb{N}).$$

First developments (cont.)

Conjecture (Kreisel, Spector, Wang)

Predicatively justifiable subsets of $\mathbb{N} = \text{HYP}$.

Naive approach via predicatively definable ordinals (PDO):

- (1) 0 is a PDO.
- (2) If α is a PDO and \prec a primitive recursive linear ordering on \mathbb{N} such that $R_\alpha \models \text{WO}[\prec]$, then $|\prec|$ is a PDO.

However,

$$\alpha < \beta \text{ and } R_\alpha \models \text{WO}[\prec] \not\Rightarrow R_\beta \models \text{WO}[\prec].$$

- 1 Historical background
- 2 Feferman – Schütte – Γ_0

Feferman-Schütte approach

Systems of ramfied analysis RA_α :

- Variables $X^\beta, Y^\beta, Z^\beta$ for each $1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$.
- Comprehension principles expressing closure under the appropriate ramified definitions (formal versions of those of R_β and L_β).
- For **any** ordinal γ : If $R_{\gamma+\beta}$ is the range of the quantifiers QX^β , then

$$\langle R_{\gamma+\beta} \rangle_{\beta \leq \alpha} \models RA_\alpha,$$

- In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} RA_\alpha \vdash WO^1[\prec] &\Rightarrow R_\gamma \models WO[\prec] \text{ for all } \gamma, \\ &\Rightarrow \prec \text{ is a well-ordering.} \end{aligned}$$

Feferman-Schütte approach (cont.)

Predicatively provable ordinals (PPO)

- (1) 0 is a PPO.
- (2) If α is a PPO and \prec a primitive recursive linear ordering on \mathbb{N} such that $\text{RA}_\alpha \vdash \text{WO}^1[\prec]$, then $|\prec|$ is a PPO.

Theorem (Feferman & Schütte, independently)

Limit of predicativity $::: \Gamma_0$

Predicative mathematics $::: \text{RA}_{<\Gamma_0} := \bigcup_{\xi < \Gamma_0} \text{RA}_\xi$.

$\text{RA}_{<\Gamma_0}$ is called the system of autonomous ramified progressions.

The ordinal Γ_0

The Veblen hierarchy $\langle \varphi_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \mathbb{O}_n}$

$$\varphi_0(\xi) := \omega^\xi,$$

$$\varphi_\alpha(\xi) := \xi\text{-th element of } \{\eta : (\forall \beta < \alpha)(\varphi_\beta(\eta) = \eta)\} \quad \text{if } \alpha > 0.$$

Then

$$\Gamma_0 := \text{least } \xi \text{ such that } \varphi_\xi(0) = \xi.$$

Remark

System of terms based on 0 , $+$, and φ can be used to build a recursive notation system $(\mathbb{O}T, \triangleleft)$ for all ordinals less than Γ_0 .

$$\text{WO}[n] :\Leftrightarrow \text{WO}[\triangleleft \upharpoonright n].$$

Determining the limit of predicativity

Upper bound

- Cut elimination: $RA_\alpha \vdash_\tau^\sigma A \Rightarrow RA_\alpha \vdash_0^{\varphi_\tau(\sigma)} A.$
- Boundedness: $RA_\alpha \vdash_0^\sigma WO^1[\prec] \Rightarrow |\prec| \leq \omega \cdot \sigma.$

Lower bound

- For suitable α, β : $RA_\alpha \vdash (\forall x \triangleleft \beta)(WO[x] \rightarrow WO[\varphi_x(0)]).$
- $\Gamma_0 = \sup\{\sigma_n : n < \omega\},$ where $\sigma_0 := \omega,$ $\sigma_{n+1} := \varphi_{\sigma_n}0.$

Predicatively justifiable principles

Arithmetic comprehension

For all formulas $A[x]$ of second order arithmetic without bound set quantifiers:

$$\exists X \forall n (n \in X \leftrightarrow A[n]). \quad (\Pi_{\infty}^0\text{-CA})$$

Hyperarithmetic comprehension rule

For all Σ_1^1 formulas $A[x]$ and $B[x]$ of second order arithmetic:

$$\frac{\forall n (A[n] \leftrightarrow \neg B[n])}{\exists X \forall n (n \in X \leftrightarrow A[n])}. \quad (\Delta_1^1\text{-CR})$$

Predicatively justifiable principles (cont.)

Bar Rule

Let \prec be any primitive recursive linear ordering (well-ordering) on \mathbb{N} and $A[x]$ any formula of second order arithmetic. We set

$$\text{Prog}[\prec, A] :\Leftrightarrow \forall m((\forall n \prec m)A[n] \rightarrow A[m]),$$

$$\text{TI}[\prec, A] :\Leftrightarrow \text{Prog}[\prec, A] \rightarrow \forall mA[m],$$

$$\text{WF}[\prec] :\Leftrightarrow \forall X \text{TI}[\prec, X].$$

Then the *Bar Rule* is the rule of inference

$$\frac{\text{WF}[\prec]}{\text{TI}[\prec, B]} \quad (\text{BR})$$

for all primitive recursive linear orderings \prec and all formulas $B[x]$ of second order arithmetic.

A warning

The Bar Rule must not be confused with schema of *Bar Induction* which is the implication

$$\forall R(\text{WF}[R] \rightarrow \text{TI}[R, A]) \quad (\text{BI})$$

for all formulas $A[x]$ of second order arithmetic. (BI) cannot be justified predicatively. On the contrary, (BI) implies strong comprehensions.

Lemma

For all arithmetic formulas $A[X]$ and arbitrary formulas B of second order arithmetic we have

$$\text{ACA}_0 + (\text{BI}) \vdash A[\{n : B[n]\}] \rightarrow \exists X A[X].$$

ACA_0 : second order arithmetic with the axiom of complete induction and $(\Pi_\infty^0\text{-CA})$; conservative extension of PA.

Some first predicative formal systems

- Feferman, 1964
 - ▶ Systems HC_α for suitable iterations of hyperarithmetical comprehension up to α ; $\bigcup_{\alpha < \Gamma_0} HC_\alpha$ is of the same strength as $RA_{<\Gamma_0}$.
 - ▶ The system IR of induction-recursion; corresponds, more or less, to $ACA_0 + (\Delta_1^1\text{-CR}) + (\text{BR})$.

- The system PS_1 of set theory
 - ▶ usual language of set theory, axioms of Kripke-Platek set theory with infinity but without Δ_0 collection;
 - ▶ rules for definition by \in -recursion, Σ reflection, and sufficiently many ordinals.
 - ▶ PS_1 is a conservative extension of IR.

Some first predicative formal systems (cont.)

- Internalizing autonomous progressions: the theory $\text{AUT}(\Pi_1^0)$
 - ▶ Given a Π_1^0 formula $A[X, y]$ and a (primitive recursive) well-ordering \prec write $\text{Hier}_A[\prec, U, V]$ to express that for all elements of the field of \prec ,

$$(V)_0 = U,$$

$$(V)_{m \oplus 1} = \{n : A[(V)_m, n]\},$$

$$(V)_m = \bigsqcup \{(V)_n : n \prec m\} \text{ for } m \text{ a limit,}$$

- ▶ $\text{AUT}(\Pi_1^0)$ is the extension of ACA_0 by the Bar Rule (BR) and, for all primitive recursive well-orderings and all Π_1^0 formulas $A[X, y]$,

$$\frac{\text{WF}[\prec]}{\forall X \exists Y \text{Hier}_A[\prec, X, Y]} .$$

Some first predicative formal systems (cont.)

Theorem (Feferman, Jä)

- 1 $\text{AUT}((\Pi_1^0)) \equiv \text{RA}_{<\Gamma_0}$.
- 2 $(\Sigma_1^1\text{-DC}) + (\text{BR})$, $(\Sigma_1^1\text{-AC}) + (\text{BR})$, and $(\Delta_1^1\text{-CA}) + (\text{BR})$ are conservative extensions of $\text{AUT}((\Pi_1^0))$ for Π_2^1 sentences.

For all Σ_1^1 formulas $A[X, Y]$, $B[x, Y]$, $C[x]$, and $D[x]$,

$$\forall X \exists Y A[X, Y] \rightarrow \forall X \exists Z ((Z)_0 = X \wedge \forall n A[(Z)_n, (Z)_{n+1}]), \quad (\Sigma_1^1\text{-DC})$$

$$\forall n \exists X B[n, X] \rightarrow \exists Z \forall n B[n, (Z)_n], \quad (\Sigma_1^1\text{-AC})$$

$$\forall n (C[n] \leftrightarrow \neg D[n]) \rightarrow \exists X \forall n (n \in X \leftrightarrow C[n]). \quad (\Delta_1^1\text{-CA})$$